UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/523,603	02/04/2005	Hiroshi Kase	00005.001205.1	4143	
	7590 12/01/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, N	LER PLAZA	JAVANMARD, SAHAR			
NEW TORK, N	NI 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/523,603	KASE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LAGITITICI	Artonic		

	SAHAR JAVANMARD	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10/29/08. A brief in cor of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	ΓE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a concern NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			10 100000 101				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [•	•	-				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	ided below or appended.		•				
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 8-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617	/S. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 1617						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Suzuki teaches Applicant's compound ((E)-8-(3,4-dimethoxystyryl)-1,3-diethyl-7-methylxanthine) for the treatment of Parkinson's Disease (PD). Trenkwalder teaches that 60-90% of PD patients complain about a variety of disease-related or secondary mechansims of which include restless leg syndrome and/or nocturnal myoclonus. Applicant is arguing inherency. However, Examiner contends that it would be obvious to administer Applicant's compound to also treat indivuals with restless syndrome or nocturnal myoclonus because there is an overlapping population of patients that have both PD and restless leg syndrome and/or nocturnal myoclonus. Thus, it would be obvious that by administering PD with Applicant's compound, that one would also be treating restless leg syndrome and/or nocturnal myoclonus in said overlapping population of patients.